THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.14213 of 2006

Dated:13.07.2006

Between:

Mr.Manga Munaswamy Reddy and others.

…Petitioners

and

The Chair Person & MD, APTRANSCO, Vidyuth Soudha Hyderabad, and others.

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.V.S.RAO

WRIT PETITION No.14213 of 2006

ORDER:

The Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Employees Union (APSEEU) affiliated to the Indian National Trade Union Congress was registered in 1954. All the petitioners are employees of the Andhra Pradesh Transmission Corporation (A.P.Transco) working in various places in Chittoor and Kadapa District. According to the petitioners respondent Nos.8 and 9 were elected as President and Secretary General respectively, and the Union affairs were smooth. It appears the Secretary General, respondent No.9 herein, was removed on 26.06.2006 and a new adhoc committee was appointed by the President of APSEEU. The petitioners contend that the same was done at the instance of respondent No.8, who has no such authority, especially, when the elections of the Union are scheduled in a short time. The petitioners apprehend that the adhoc committee constituted by the second respondent, which is respondent No.10, submitted a representation raising various issues, and respondent Nos.1 to 6 are likely to take a decision thereon. According to the petitioners, any such decision on the representation of respondent No.10 would be illegal and arbitrary.

A perusal of the affidavit accompanying the writ petition would

show that there is a rivalry between respondent Nos.8 and 9 on one hand and the petitioners are supporting one of them. When a adhoc committee is appointed, as rightly pointed out by the learned Standing Counsel, Sri G.V.Raghava Reddy, there cannot be any objection for the management taking adhoc decision keeping in view good labour practices in the Organisation. Be that as it is, learned Standing Counsel submits that respondent Nos.1 to 6 are not going to take any decision for the present. In that view of the matter, this writ petition is misconceived. The rivalry in a Labour Union cannot be resolved in a writ petition. There are other Forums to seek redressal.

The Writ Petition is accordingly dismissed. No costs.

_____

(V.V.S.RAO, J)

13.07.2006
vs